# IDENTIFYING SHAREHOLDER VALUE CREATORS

Ram Kumar Kakani **SPJCM Singapore** 



#### Questions

- In principle, MVA is considered to be equal to the firm's expected future EVAs
- Evaluate the historical financial performance of the pairs of Asian and American firms in each industry
- Compare the consistency of EVA as a measure across difference industries and under different market conditions
- Can you help in adoption and design a EVA based incentive plans inside these organizations

### Computer Hardware - PC

- Apple Computer
  - Shows increasing NOPAT, EVA, MVA, and MVE from 1998 to 2000
  - All performance measures are correlated
  - Acer Inc.
    - Shows improved NOPAT, MVA & MVE in 1999 & 2000
    - EVA was negative in all years (thou NOPAT and MVA are positive)
    - Possible Explanations for this could be:
      - Estimation error in computing EVA
      - Over-optimism of investors about future prospects
      - EVA ignores potential future NOPAT arising from ACER's growth options

## Food and Beverage

- Coca-Cola
  - All performance measures declined during the period
  - All performance measures are consistent overtime
- Nestle Malaysia Bhd.
  - Experience significant growth in EVA and MVA in 1998 despite fall in NOPAT
  - EVA, MVA, and MVE seem to be correlated over the period



#### Real Estate

- Simon Property Group
  - Although the company shows increasing NOPAT, its EVA remained negative throughout the period
  - Despite a sharp drop in EVA in 1999, the firm's MVA and MVE increased in that year
  - The measures seem to have low correlation with each other
- Ayala Land Inc.
  - Except in 2000, in all other years NOPAT and EVA were positive
  - In 2000, NOPAT was positive but EVA was negative
  - Its MVA and MVE declined over the period
  - Possible explanations
  - The EVA measure ignores the terminal value

## Some Take Homes ...

- EVA is influenced by
  - GAAP choices
  - Ignores terminal value
  - Ignores strategic option values
- If, Profitability Index = ROCE/WACC and Value/Capital ratio = P/BV, then
- A graph between Profitability Index and P/BV Ratio would indicate
- From an investors perspective the goal could be "to invest in those firms with the highest profitability index for a given level of value/capital ratio"

## Some Take Homes ...

- Is ACER overpriced?
- Yes OR the profitability index may not be capturing the growth opportunities in store
- Is Nestle Malaysia relatively overpriced?
- No
- It is generating a high return on capital because Coca-cola it is working on relatively small amount of invested capital
- What about Real Estate companies?
- Either investors are overly optimistic
- OR, it had high forthcoming EVAs in the next years

#### Limitations of Various Measures ...

- Accounting measures such as Turnover, Net Income & EPS
  - Can be noisy and give misleading signals to the financial manager who seeks to maximize shareholder value
  - Do not reflect the riskiness of the earnings
  - Do not reflect time value of money, the opportunity cost associated to equity
- EVA
- Is not forward looking
- Possible reallocation of resources to projects with shorter investment horizon
- Severely hurts long-run capital intensive projects

#### Limitations of Various Measures ...

- Take Real Estate Companies
- The advantages of an aggressive land banking strategies may be less obvious
- They use, Funds From Operations; EBIDTA; and Funds Available for Distribution as financial measurement tools
- Tying EVA to compensation schemes
- How?
- Especially if a an industry is cyclical or capital-intensive
- Complications: number/type of EVA centers, appropriate accounting adjustments in computing EVA, EVA target, EVA interval, design of EVA bonus bank