

Acknowledgement

I take this opportunity with much pleasure to thank all the people who have helped me through the course of my journey towards producing this thesis. I sincerely thank my thesis supervisor, Prof. N. Ramachandran, for his guidance, help and motivation. Apart from the subject of my research, I learnt a lot from him, which I am sure will be useful in different stages of my life. I would like to express my gratitude to the other members of my thesis advisory committee: Prof. V. N. Reddy for much help with the research design and methodology, and Prof. Dipankar Mitra for his review and many helpful comments.

I would like to thank Prof. Tarun Khanna of Harvard Business School and Prof. K. R. S. Murthy of IIM Bangalore for their assistance in finalizing the research problem. I would like to thank Prof. K. K. Bhattacharya, Prof. Shyamal Ghosh, Prof. N. Krishna Rao, Prof. Arkadev Chatterjea, Prof. Ashish Bhattacharya, and all the other professors of the Finance Group at IIM Calcutta for their assistance and useful comments. I would like to thank Prof. Anup Sinha, Prof. Anindya Sen, and all the other members of faculty at IIM Calcutta for their caring and supportive attitude. Special thanks go to Prof. Sushil Khanna for sharing his data on mergers and acquisitions in the Indian corporate sector, and to Prof. Mritunjoy Mohanty for his detailed suggestions.

I am especially grateful to my colleagues Sajja, Sridev, Biswatosh and Sanjay Basu for their assistance, criticisms and useful insights. I am thankful to all the other Doctoral (Fellow) students (past and present) of IIM Calcutta with whom I share tons of fond memories. I would like to acknowledge the support and encouragement of my friends Bhujanga, Haque, Krishna, Rama Rao, Shashi, Srinivas, and Subodh Das. I would like to thank the FP office and CMIE staff for their help. My sincere gratitude also goes to all those who instructed and taught me through the years.

Finally, this thesis would not have been possible without the confidence, endurance and support of my family. My family has always been a source of inspiration and encouragement. I wish to thank my parents, Late Shri Mukand Lal and Smt. Karuna Bai, whose love, teachings and support have brought me this far. I wish to thank my sisters, Archana and Aradhana, my uncles and in-laws, and my wife Kavita for their affection, understanding and patience.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Aditya Birla Centre for Management Research of London Business School.

Abstract

"Corporate strategies and their effectiveness constitute a crucial research problem in both finance and strategic management. Product diversification is a common corporate strategy by which an organization moves into a wider range of products. A growing interest in comparing the relative success of product diversification vis-à-vis focussed strategies has spawned a rapidly expanding literature. Nevertheless, in India, there exists a gap in empirical research on the impact of corporate diversification strategy on financial performance.

India's industrial sector continues to be dominated by business houses. These business groups often diversify across different product lines. However, little empirical work has been done on the relationship between Indian business groups' financial performance and product diversification strategies. So, it will be interesting to examine the relationship between diversification strategy and financial performance of Indian business groups.

Using aggregated financial statement data and capital market data of 240 large Indian business houses, we studied the performance of Indian business houses' vis-à-vis their diversification strategy. To make the study robust, we made use of multiple measures for performance and product diversification. We also made use of a large set of control variables and a longer time span of 12 years (1987-99) divided into three sub-periods (viz., 1987-91, 1991-95 and 1995-99) having different economic conditions.

We found that product diversification strategy was negatively related to business groups shareholder value (Tobin's Q Ratio) for all the three periods of the study. Shareholder value maximization depends on a group's growth, profitability, risk and the general capital market conditions. So, the study extended itself to investigate the precise performance components that drove the above result during the three different periods.

We found that during periods of low competition in the Indian economy (1987-91), profitability and net profit margins were negatively related to degree of product diversification. During 1991-95, a period of high growth rates for business groups post-

liberalization, it was found that profitability, net profit margins and sales turnover were negatively related to a group's product scope. In 1995-99, a period of high competition from industrial deregulation, we found that growth and sales turnover of business groups were negatively related to their diversification levels. The results in the later periods also seem to be influenced by the capital market's preference for focussed business groups. So, the differences among the performance indicators across sub-periods apparently exhibit the influence of diverse competitive factors and economic characteristics prevailing during the three sub-periods.

It was found that, apart from a business group's product diversification strategy, its size, solvency position and international exposure levels were important factors affecting their market-based and non-market based performance measures during all the sub-periods of study.